IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA ILED

IN AND FOR THE C	OUNTY OF MOHAVE
IN THE MATTER OF:	2004 HAR 29 PM 12: 28
MODIFICATION OF THE CASE ASSIGNMENT SYSTEM FOR MOHAVE) ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER) 2004-12
COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT)

The judges of this court have reviewed the case assignment system adopted in 2002, and in view of some changed circumstances which are likely to persist for some time, it is advisable to make some changes to the schedule. The affected judges have been consulted and agreed to these changes, which will hopefully improve the case processing in our court.

IT IS ORDERED modifying the case assignment schedule adopted in Administrative Order number 2002-17 as follows:

- 1. Criminal case assignments will be in accordance with the attached document entitled "New Case Assignment Schedules" by Presiding Criminal Judge Steven F. Conn, so that 45% will be assigned to Judge Conn, 30% to Judge Moon and 25% to Judge Weiss.
- 2. Civil case assignments in those cases filed in Kingman will be modified so that Judge Bartlett receives cases ending in 6, Judge Gurtler receives cases ending in 4 and Judge Chavez receives the remainder.
- 3. Child support (IV-d) cases will still be assigned to Commissioner/Judge Pro Tem Roth, but they may be heard in the Bullhead City or Lake Havasu City courthouses, at the election of Commissioner Roth, if the parties reside in one of those communities or other circumstances justify that change.
- 4. Juvenile delinquency cases previously assigned to Judge Moon will be assigned to Judge Pro Tem Weiss.
- 5. Juvenile dependency cases assigned to Commissioner/Judge Pro Tem Roth will remain assigned to her, including for contested adjudicatory hearings, unless reassigned for specific reasons on a case-by-case basis.
- 6. Any cases assigned to Judge Wells under the 2002 system are to be assigned to Judge Gurtler. In all categories of cases, if a judge should leave office for any reason, that judge's caseload will be assumed by the successor to the office unless and until a specific change is ordered by the presiding judge.

DATED this Hay of March, 2004.

Hon. Robert R. Moon, Presiding Judge Mohave County Superior Court

NEW CASE ASSIGNMENT GUIDELINES (Effective 3-11-04)

01	_	Moon	34	_	Conn	67	_	Weiss
02	_	Conn	35	-	Weiss	68	-	Conn
03	_	Weiss	36	-	Conn	69	-	Moon
04	~	Conn	37	-	Moon	70	-	Conn
05	-	Moon	38	-	Conn	7 1	-	Weiss
06	_	Conn	39	_	Weiss	72	_	Conn
07	-	Weiss	40	-	Moon	7 3	_	Moon
08	-	Conn	41	-	Moon	74	-	Conn
09	_	Moon	42	-	Conn	<i>7</i> 5	-	Weiss
10	-	Conn	43	_	Weiss	76	-	Conn
11	-	Weiss	44	_	Conn	77	-	Moon
12	-	Conn	45	-	Moon	78	-	Conn
13	_	Moon	46	-	Conn	79	-	Weiss
14	-	Conn	47	-	Weiss	80	-	Moon
15	-	Weiss	48	-	Conn	81	-	Moon
16	_	Conn	49	-	Moon	82	_	Conn
17	-	Moon	50	-	Conn	83	_	Weiss
18	_	Conn	51	_	Weiss	84	-	Conn
19	_	Weiss	52	_	Conn	85	-	Moon
20	-	Moon	53	~	Moon	86	-	Conn
21	-	Moon	54	-	Conn	87	-	Weiss
22	-	Conn	55	-	Weiss	88	-	Conn
23	-	Weiss	56	-	Conn	89	-	Moon
24	-	Conn	57	-	Moon	90	-	Conn
25	~	Moon	58	-	Conn	91	-	Weiss
26	-	Conn	59	-	Weiss	92	-	Conn
27	-	Weiss	60	-	Moon	93	-	Moon
28	-	Conn	61	-	Moon	94	-	Conn
29	-	Moon	62	-	Conn	95	-	Weiss
30	-	Conn	63	-	Weiss	96	-	Conn
31	-	Weiss	64	-	Conn	97	-	Moon
32	-	Conn	65	-	Moon	98	_	Conn
33	-	Moon	66	-	Conn	99	-	Weiss
						00	-	Moon

Arraignments:

Conn - Monday Moon - Tuesday Weiss - Friday

The above assignment of cases to judges according to cause numbers will NOT apply in either of the following 2 circumstances:

- 1. A change of plea has been set before a specific judge and the Information is to be filed immediately before the hearing. Such case will be assigned to the judge before whom the change of plea is set regardless of the cause number.
- 2. A case where a defendant already has a pending criminal case in the Superior Court (including being on probation). Such case will be assigned to the judge who has the earlier case, regardless of the cause number.

To whatever extent possible, when cases are assigned contrary to the assignment guidelines, the Clerk's Office will attempt to "balance the assignment" of later cases so that each judge is assigned cases according to the following guidelines:

Conn	-	9 of 20	(45%)
Weiss	-	5 of 20	(25%)
Moon	-	6 of 20	(30%)