FILED
Christina Spurlock
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT
12/16/2022 3:14PM
BY: AMOULTON
DEPUTY

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

## IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

HONORABLE LEE F. JANTZEN DIVISION 4
DATE: DECEMBER 16, 2022

\*DL

## COURT ORDER/NOTICE/RULING

JEANNE KENTCH, et al., et ux., Plaintiffs,

vs. CV-2022-01468

KRIS MAYES, et al., et ux., Defendants.

The Court has received a <u>Motion to Intervene</u> filed by J'aime Morgaine, in her role as Executive Director of Real Change (Arizona Standing PAC #100614). Oral argument is requested, but the Court finds the motion is not sufficient and oral argument is not necessary. Neither is it necessary to wait for responses from the parties.

Rule 24 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure discusses when someone can intervene in a civil case. Rule 24 discusses two types of intervention: intervention of right and permissive intervention. The motion in this case is asking the Court to grant permissive intervention. The section of Rule 24 addressing permissive intervention reads (in relevant part) as follows:

- (b) Permissive Intervention.
- (1) Generally. On timely motion, the court may permit anyone to intervene who:
- (A) has a conditional right to intervene under a statute; or
- (B) has a claim or defense that shares with the main action a common question of law or fact.

The motion before the Court does not raise a specific statute that would give a conditional right to intervene, nor does the motion state a claim or defense that shares with the main action a common question of law or fact. The motion states the moving party has "acquired information and facts specifically relevant to this case that are unlikely to be introduced by other defendants." There is no other explanation.

This is insufficient for the Court to grant the Motion to Intervene.

**IT IS ORDERED** denying the <u>Motion to Intervene</u> filed December 16, 2022, by J'aime Morgaine, in her role as Executive Director of Real Change (Arizona Standing PAC #100614).

CC:

David Warrington\*
Gary Lawkoski
DHILLON LAW GROUP INC
and
Timothy A La Sota\*
TIMOTHY A LA SOTA PLC
and
Dennis I Wilenchik\*
John D "Jack" Wilenchik
WILENCHIK & BARTNESS
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Daniel C Barr\*
Alexis E Danneman
Austin Yost
Samantha J Burke
PERKINS COIE LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Mayes

D Andrew Gaona
COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC
and
Sambo (Bo) Dul\*
STATES UNITED DEMOCRACY CENTER
Attorneys for Defendant Hobbs

Joseph La Rue\*
Joe Branco
Karen Hartman-Tellez
MARICOPA COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
Attorneys for Maricopa County Defendants

Celest Robertson\*
Joseph Young
APACHE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
Attorneys for Apache County Defendants

Christine J Roberts\*
Paul Correa
COCHISE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
Attorneys for Cochise County Defendants

Bill Ring\*
COCONINO COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
Attorney for Coconino County Defendants

Jeff Dalton\*
GILA COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
Attorney for Gila County Defendants

Jean A Roof\*
GRAHAM COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
Attorney for Graham County Defendants

Scott Adams\*
GREENLEE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
Attorney for Greenlee County Defendants

Ryan N Dooley\*
LA PAZ COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
Attorney for La Paz County Defendants

Ryan Esplin\*
MOHAVE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
Attorney for Mohave County Defendants

Jason S Moore\*
NAVAJO COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
Attorney for Navajo County Defendants

Daniel Jurkowitz\*
Ellen Brown
Javier Gerna
PIMA COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
Attorneys for Pima County Defendants

Craig Cameron\*
Scott Johnson
Allen Quist
Jim Mitchell
PINAL COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
Attorneys for Pinal County Defendants

Kimberly Hunley\* William Moran SANTA CRUZ COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE Attorneys for Santa Cruz County Defendants

Thomas Stoxen\*
Colleen Conner
YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
Attorneys for Yavapai County Defendants

Bill Kerekes YUMA COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE Attorney for Yuma County Defendants

J'aime Morgaine\* Real Change Executive Director

Honorable Lee F Jantzen Division 4