FILED
Christina Spurlock
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT
05/23/2023 2:15PM
BY: LBENSHOOF
DEPUTY

1	Paul F. Eckstein (#001822) Alexis E. Danneman (#030478)	
2	Matthew R. Koerner (# 035018) Margo R. Casselman (#034963)	
3	Samantha J. Burke (#036064) PERKINS COIE LLP	
4	2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 2000 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2788	
5	Telephone: +1.602.351.8000 PEckstein@perkinscoie.com	
6	ADanneman@perkinscoie.com MKoerner@perkinscoie.com	
7	MCasselman@perkinscoie.com SBurke@perkinscoie.com	
8	DocketPHX@perkinscoie.com	
9	Attorneys for Defendant/Contestee Kris Mayes	
10	ARIZONA SUPI	ERIOR COURT
11	MOHAVE	COUNTY
12	TED BOYD, et al.,	No. S8015CV202201468
13	Plaintiffs/Contestants,	
14	v.	RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' FIFTH NOTICE OF
15	KRIS MAYES,	SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY
15 16	KRIS MAYES,  Defendant/Contestee,	(Assigned to the Hon. Lee F. Jantzen)
16	Defendant/Contestee,	
16 17	Defendant/Contestee,	
16 17 18	Defendant/Contestee, and ADRIAN FONTES, et al.,	
16 17 18 19	Defendant/Contestee, and ADRIAN FONTES, et al.,	
16 17 18 19 20	Defendant/Contestee, and ADRIAN FONTES, et al.,	
16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant/Contestee, and ADRIAN FONTES, et al.,	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant/Contestee, and ADRIAN FONTES, et al.,	

7 8

6

9

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

Plaintiffs have now filed a *fifth* notice of supplemental authority—an unpublished order issued by the Maricopa County Superior Court in another case. See Order Under Advisement, Lake v. Hobbs, No. CV 2022-095403 (Maricopa Cty. Super. Ct. May 15, 2023) ("Lake Order"). The Lake Order addressed a Rule 60 motion following an appeal and an order vacating and remanding the case back to the trial court based on legal error on a single claim. It did not involve, as here, a Rule 59 motion for a new trial filed before the entry of judgment and seeking, not just a new trial, but also to conduct an extensive, hand recount.<sup>1</sup>

Plaintiffs argue that the Lake Order assists them in two respects. It does not. The order is neither binding, nor persuasive.

First, Plaintiffs claim to submit the Lake Order to support their argument that "[t]o the extent that the civil rules can apply without contradiction to an election challenge, they do apply." [Lake Order at 8 (emphasis added)] The Attorney General agrees. [See Resp. to New Trial Mot. at 3] But here, Plaintiffs' Rule 59 motion does conflict with the election contest statute. [See id. at 2–3 (explaining conflict)] The Lake Order does not specifically address any of the arguments as to why a motion for a new trial would conflict with the contest statutes.

Second, Plaintiffs argue that the Lake Order "addressed the court's ability to grant relief despite Governor Hobbs having been in office for five months." The Lake Order glosses over and does not expressly address the specific mootness argument the Attorney General raised here, which is that an election contest becomes moot once an officer is sworn into office because the relief available under the election contest statute does not include removal from office. [See Mayes Resp. Mot. New Trial at 17]

Further, Plaintiffs' argument based on Campbell v. Hunt in the notice is both

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Last week, the Lake court conducted a limited trial on a single count. The trial court has since ruled against the contestant, ended the contest, and confirmed the election of the Governor.

inappropriate and misplaced. Again, the expedited timelines under the current election contest statute did not exist in 1917, when that case was decided. See 1913 Civ. Code §§ 3061, 3063– 64 (1913).

Finally, Plaintiffs assert (at 2) that "Contestees have argued that...the recount provisions foreclose further proceedings." Plaintiffs misapprehend the law and the Attorney General's position. Again, Plaintiffs request a post-trial review of many thousands of ballots— Plaintiffs' own second recount. Recounts can only be conducted pursuant to statute. And "no authority exists in Arizona for ordering the [additional] recount requested by appellant." Barrera v. Superior Ct., In And For Graham Cnty., 117 Ariz. 528, 530 (App. 1977).

Dated: May 23, 2023

PERKINS COIE LLP

By: s/Alexis Danneman

Paul F. Eckstein Alexis E. Danneman Matthew R. Koerner Margo R. Casselman Samantha J. Burke 2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 2000 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2788

Attorneys for Defendant/Contestee Kris Mayes

22

23

24

1	Original efiled with the Mohave County Superior
2	Court and served on the following parties through AZTurboCourt on this 23rd day of May 2023:
3	Honorable Lee F. Jantzen
4	Mohave County Superior Court Judge
5	c/o Danielle Lecher <u>DLecher@courts.az.gov</u>
6	Division4@mohavecourts.com
7	David A. Warrington Gary Lawkowski
8	DHILLON LAW GROUP, INC. 2121 E. Eisenhower Ave., Ste. 608
9	Alexandria, VA 22314  DWarrington@dhillonlaw.com
10	GLawkowski@dhillonlaw.com
11	Timothy A. La Sota TIMOTHY A. LA SOTA, PLC
12	21 E. Camelback Rd., Ste. 305 Phoenix, AZ 85016
13	tim@timlasota.com
14	Alexander Kolodin Veronica Lucero
15	Arno Naeckel
16	James Sabalos Davillier Law Group, LLC
17	4105 N. 20th St., Suite 110 Phoenix, AZ 85016
18	akolodin@davillierlawgroup.com vlucero@davillierlawgroup.com
19	anaeckel@davillierlawgroup.com jsabalos@davillierlawgroup.com
20	phxadmin@davillierlawgroup.com
21	Jennifer J. Wright Jennifer Wright Esq., PLC
	4350 E. Indian School Rd., Suite 21-105 Phoenix, AZ 85018
22	jen@jenwesq.com
23	

24

1	Sigal Chattah
2	Chattah Law Group 5875 S. Rainbow Blvd. #204
3	Las Vegas, NV 89118 Chattahlaw@gmail.com
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff/Contestant Abraham Hamadeh
5	
	Thomas P. Liddy Joseph La Rue
6	Joe Branco Karen Hartman-Tellez
7	Jack L. O'Connor III Sean M. Moore
8	Rosa Aguilar
9	Maricopa County Attorney's Office 225 West Madison St.
10	Phoenix, AZ 85003 liddyt@mcao.maricopa.gov
	laruej@mcao.maricopa.gov
11	brancoj@mcao.maricopa.gov hartmank@mcao.maricopa.gov
12	oconnorj@mcao.maricopa.gov moores@mcao.maricopa.gov
13	aguilarr@mcao.maricopa.gov
14	c-civilmailbox@mcao.maricopa.gov
15	Emily Craiger The Burgess Law Group
16	3131 E. Camelback Rd., Suite 224 Phoenix, AZ 85016
	Emily@theburgesslawgroup.com
17	Attorneys for Defendants Stephen Richer, Maricopa County Recorder and Maricopa County
18	Board of Supervisors
19	Celest Robertson Joseph Young
20	Apache County Attorney's Office
21	245 W. 1st South St. Johns, AZ 85936
22	<u>crobertson@apachelaw.net</u> <u>jyoung@apachelaw.net</u>
23	Attorneys for Defendants Larry Noble, Apache County Recorder and Apache County Board of
24	Supervisors
-	

1	Christine J. Roberts
2	Paul Correa Cochise County Attorney's Office
3	150 Quality Hill Rd. Bisbee, AZ 85603
4	croberts@cochise.az.gov pcorrea@cochise.az.gov
	Attorneys for Defendants David W. Stevens,
5	Cochise County Recorder and Cochise County Board of Supervisors
6	Bill Ring
7	Coconino County Attorney's Office 110 E. Cherry Ave.
8	Flagstaff, AZ 86001
9	wring@coconino.az.gov Attorneys for Defendants Patty Hansen, Coconino
10	County Recorder and Coconino County Board of Supervisors
11	Jeff Dalton
12	Gila County Attorney's Office 1400 E. Ash St.
13	Globe, AZ 85551 jdalton@gilacountyaz.gov
14	Attorneys for Defendants Sadie Jo Bingham, Gila County Recorder and Gila County Board of
	Supervisors
15	Jean Roof
16	Graham County Attorney's Office 800 W. Main St.
17	Safford, AZ 85546 jroof@graham.az.gov
18	Attorneys for Defendants Polly Merriman,
19	Graham County Recorder and Graham County Board of Supervisors
20	Scott Adams
21	Greenlee County Attorney's Office P.O. Box 1717
22	Clifton, AZ 85533 sadams@greenlee.az.gov
23	Attorneys for Defendants Sharie Milheiro, Greenlee County Recorder and Greenlee County
24	Board of Supervisors

Ryan N. Dooley
La Paz County Attorney's Office 1320 Kofa Ave.
Parker, AZ 85344
rdooley@lapazcountyaz.org
Attorneys for Defendants Richard Garcia, La Paz County Recorder and La Paz County Board of
Supervisors
Ryan Esplin Mohave County Attorney's Office Civil Division
P.O. Box 7000
Kingman, AZ 86402-7000 esplinr@mohave.gov
Attorneys for Defendants Kristi Blair, Mohave
County Recorder and Mohave County Board of Supervisors
Jason Moore Navajo County Attorney's Office
P.O. Box 668 Holbrook, AZ 86025-0668
jason.moore@navajocountyaz.gov
Attorney for Defendants Michael Sample, Navajo
County Recorder, and Navajo County Board of Supervisors
Daniel Jurkowitz
Ellen Brown
Javier Gherna
Pima County Attorney's Office 32 N. Stone #2100
Tucson, AZ 85701
Daniel.Jurkowitz@pcao.pima.gov
Ellen.Brown@pcao.pima.gov Javier.Gherna@pcao.pima.gov
Attorney for Defendants Gabriella Cázares-
Kelley, Pima County Recorder, and Pima County Board of Supervisors
Bourd of Supervisors
Craig Cameron
Scott Johnson Allen Quist
Jim Mitchell
Pinal County Attorney's Office 30 North Florence Street
Florence, AZ 85132

1	craig.cameron@pinal.gov
2	scott.m.johnson@pinal.gov allen.quist@pinal.gov
3	james.mitchell@pinal.gov Attorneys for Defendants Dana Lewis, Pinal
4	County Recorder, and Pinal County Board of Supervisors
5	Kimberly Hunley
6	Laura Roubicek Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office
7	2150 North Congress Drive, Suite 201 Nogales, AZ 85621-1090
8	khunley@santacruzcountyaz.gov lroubicek@santacruzcountyaz.gov
9	Attorneys for Defendants Suzanne Sainz, Santa
	Cruz County Recorder, and Santa Cruz County Board of Supervisors
10	Colleen Connor
11	Thomas Stoxen Yavapai County Attorney's Office
12	255 East Gurley Street, 3rd Floor Prescott, AZ 86301
13	Colleen.Connor@yavapaiaz.gov
14	Thomas.Stoxen@yavapaiaz.gov Attorney for Defendants Michelle M. Burchill,
15	Yavapai County Recorder, and Yavapai County Board of Supervisors
16	Bill Kerekes
17	Yuma County Attorney's Office 198 South Main Street
18	Yuma, AZ 85364 bill.kerekes@yumacountyaz.gov
19	Attorney for Defendants Richard Colwell, Yuma County Recorder, and Yuma County Board of
20	Supervisors
21	
22	
23	
24	

Craig Alan Morgan Shayna Stuart 2 | Jake T. Rapp Law Offices of Sherman & Howard, LLC 2555 East Camelback Road, Suite 1050 Phoenix, Arizona 85016 CMorgan@ShermanHoward.com SStuart@shermanhoward.com JRapp@ShermanHoward.com Attorneys for Defendant Arizona Secretary of State Adrian Fontes s/Indy Fitzgerald Perkins Coie, LLP