		FILED
1	Nancy Knight	TIME
2	1803 E. Lipan Cir.	SEP 2 1 2023
3	Fort Mohave, AZ 86426	
3	Telephone: (951) 837-1617	CHRISTINA SPURLOCK CLERK SUPERIOR COURT
4	nancyknight@frontier.com	BY:DEPUTY
5		
6	Plaintiff Pro Per	
7	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA	
8	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE	
9	NANCY KNIGHT,)
10	Plaintiff,	Case No.: CV 2018-04003
11	and	,
12)	MOTION FOR CORRECTION OF
13	GLEN LUDWIG Trustee of THE LUDWIG FAMILY TRUST; FAIRWAY	FINAL ORDER FOR DUE DATES FOR THE SERVICE PACKET MAILINGS
14	CONSTRUCTORS, INC.; MEHDI AZARMI;	AND EXCEL SPREADSHEET COLUMNS
14	JOHN DOES 1-10; JANE DOES 1-10; ABC) CORPORATIONS 1-10; and XYZ)	
15	PARTNERSHIPS 1-10.	Hon. Judge Nielson
16	}	:)
17	Defendants.	
18		
į		
19		
20	Comes Now, Plaintiff Pro Per Nancy Knight, submitting to the Court her Motion	
21	for Corrections to the Count's change in the Final Onder for Due Dates and the column	
22	for Corrections to the Court's change in the Final Order for Due Dates and the columns	
23	cited for the Excel Spreadsheet that had been agreed to by attorney Oehler and Plaintiff's	
24	former attorney Coughlin.	
25	The Eyeel Spreadsheet has already been verified for the names and addresses of	
26	The Excel Spreadsheet has already been verified for the names and addresses of	
27	the current owners and submitted to both the Clerk of the Court and to attorney Oehler	
28	that Column C be the name of the current owner and other columns have the address,	

23

24

25

26

28

27

city, state, and zip code. The file was transmitted by email to the two parties on September 9, 2023 where the mailing address is in col D, the city is in col E, the state is in col F, and the zip code is in col G. The Order is for the "name(s) and mailing address" information be moved to column C that is considered an arbitrary and capricious Order by this Court. The parsing out on the Excel Spreadsheet is for the purpose of sorting the mailing addresses for APNs that are owned by multiple property owners where mailing addresses may be different under different circumstances of how ownership is held. This sorting resulted in only 223 envelopes being required to be mailed to about 443 owner names held in trust or joint tenancy among 243 APNs. The Court also totally disregarded Plaintiff's request that any APN held in Trust or held in joint tenancy could be mailed to the primary property owner for the proof of delivery signature on the Return Receipt as listed for the Assessor's Property Tax Statement. The Court is apparently biased and has not considered Plaintiff's reasonable requests. A Correction is appropriate for compliance with the original method of creating the Excel Spreadsheet and for compliance to reduce costs of service for APNs that are held by multiple parties. It is the intent of the Legislature that costs should not be excessive for the service of documents which is why they approved the Waiver of Service Form that would allow Plaintiff to recover costs if the Parties do not return the Waiver of Service Form on the First Mailing.

Per the first paragraph of the Final Order this apparent biased Court has now caused substantial hardship for the Plaintiff to comply with the First Mailing by November 2, 2023 that is only 52 days from the Order. The first original paragraph agreed to by attorney Oehler and Plaintiff's former attorney Coughlin gave Plaintiff 150

19

21

25

27

days to substantially comply with the first mailing and Plaintiff requested that substantial be defined as an expenditure on her part of at least \$2,000 in postal costs. Depending on the number of pages she has to copy for all of the documents to be inserted in the Service Packet, her costs of copies is expected to be over \$1200 for just the 223 envelopes required pursuant to the existing Excel Spreadsheet data. It is wrongful to require an expenditure for copies and enclosed self-addressed and stamped envelopers to be over \$2500.

This Court is complying with the Defendants attempt to cause dismissal of the case before trial or bankrupt the Plaintiff.

This Court is pleaded to take all considerations for fairness for the due dates for compliance and to not cause financial hardship upon the Plaintiff who is of advanced age with limited financial abilities since the death of her husband. At the age of 79, she does not know how much longer she can continue to earn wages to support her efforts for justice in the two cases that involves these Defendants.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 16th day of September, 2023

Nancy Knight, Plaintiff Pro Per

Copy of the foregoing was emailed this day to: djolaw10@gmail.com (Attorney Daniel Oehler, Counsel for the Defendants)

kalerma@courts.az.gov (Judicial Assistant to Hon. Judge Nielson)

Copy to be mailed to the Higher Authorities in Plaintiff's Complaint against this Court.