Nancy Knight
1803 E. Lipan Cir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Fort Mohave, AZ 86426

Telephone: (928) 768-1537 nancyknight@frontier.com

Christina Spurlock SupCrtClerk
AM

Plaintiff Pro Per

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

NANCY KNIGHT

Plaintiff,

V.

GLEN LUDWIG and PEARL LUDWIG,
Trustees of THE LUDWIG FAMILY TRUST;
FAIRWAY CONSTRUCTORS, INC.;
MEHDI AZARMI; JAMES B. ROBERTS and
DONNA M. ROBERTS, husband and wife;

JOHN DOES 1-10; JANE DOES 1-10; ABC CORPORATIONS 1-10; and XYZ PARTNERSHIPS 1-10.

Defendants.

Case No.: B8015 **CV 2018 04003** 

MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE

Hon. Judge Jantzen

COMES NOW Plaintiff Pro Per, Nancy Knight, filing a Motion for a Change of Venue due to multiple issues.

A change of venue is a high priority as we are approaching the time when a new judge needs to make a decision on who is to join indispensable parties. The movant in the Defendant's MSJ is Ludwig et. al. and the movant is the plaintiff in an abandonment claim.

Further, Mohave County has become a Defendant in this matter on more than one



level. One cause of action is in regards to page 9 of the Complaint at Count One, paragraph 31. It is highly unlikely that the Hon. Judge Jantzen will Grant Leave to Amend the Complaint since denial has been the record multiple times when Rule 15(a)(2) states, Leave to amend must be freely given when justice requires." A change of venue and judge are necessary in the interest of justice.

This portion of Count One was still pending for Judge Carlisle's intent for only the Robert's portion of Count One to be dismissed, pursuant to the Transcript.

Mr. Oehler wrote the order incorrectly and the Court may have assumed Mr. Oehler would not have erred in writing what was said during Oral Arguments and therefore he signed the Order or it was signed by a judicial assistant in error. In any case, this signature on dismissal of the entire Count One based on the Court's actual intent as captured in the Transcript will not need a determination by the jury.

Additional cause for concern that Plaintiff cannot get a fair trial in Mohave County are the defamatory posters attached to mail boxes, acrimonious insertion of profane comments written on posters, emails that neighbors are opposed to the Law Suit for Breach of Contract, the High Profile Website for all documents filed in this matter, the Gag Order imposed by Hon. Judge Jantzen and Defendant Azarmi's position on the Planning & Zoning Committee and Advisory Board for fifteen years and his "Citizen of the Year" award from the Bullhead Chamber of Commerce and more, Plaintiff believes she cannot get a fair trial in Mohave County.

Plaintiff stands corrected that she did not file a Motion pursuant to Rule 4.2

because that was not a part of the language of Statute §12-409. Rule 4.2 references

Statute §12-409 but not vice versa. Plaintiff still believes she cannot get a fair and impartial trial from Hon. Judge Jantzen and therefore will resubmit her Statute §12-409 with a Motion for Change of Judge for the record and for the High Profile Website.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 22st day of March, 2023.

Nancy Knight, Plaintiff Pro Per

Copy sent electronically on this day to: djolaw10@gmail.com Daniel Oehler, Attorney for LFA Defendants