

**IN THE SUPERIOR COURT
MOHAVE COUNTY, STATE OF ARIZONA**

**HONORABLE LEE F. JANTZEN
DIVISION: IV COURTROOM: D
COURT REPORTER: CHELSEA VOIGT**

**VIRLYNN TINNELL, CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT
D. PITT, DEPUTY CLERK
HEARING DATE: 10/16/2020**

<p>NANCY KNIGHT,</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Plaintiffs,</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>GLEN LUDWIG and PEARL LUDWIG, Trustees of THE LUDWIG FAMILY TRUST; FAIRWAY CONSTRUCTORS, INC.; MEHDI AZARMI; JAMES B. ROBERTS and DONNA M. ROBERTS, husband and wife; et al., et ux., Defendant(s).</p>	<p>CASE NO: CV-2018-04003</p> <p>ORAL ARGUMENTS</p> <p>START: 10:32 A.M.</p>
--	---

ZOOM APPEARANCES: Nancy Knight, Plaintiff; Daniel Oehler, Attorney for the Defendant(s).

This is the time set for Oral Arguments on the Plaintiff’s Motion for Leave to File an Amendment to the Complaint.

The Court reviews the file and finds that the parties are ready to proceed.

Plaintiff presents arguments to the Court.

Counsel presents arguments to the Court.

The Court states its findings for the record.

IT IS ORDERED denying the Plaintiff’s Motion for Leave to File an Amendment to the Complaint.

Discussion ensues regarding how to proceed and scheduling.

IT IS ORDERED setting a Telephonic Status Conference on Tuesday, December 14, 2021 at 9:00 a.m.

Counsel requests the Court grant attorney fees in this matter and present arguments.

The Court will not take any action the request for attorney’s fees at this time.

Counsel advises that there is an outstanding motion for production of documents; and requests thirty days to file any necessary objections or responses; discussion ensues.

The Plaintiff withdraws her motion for production of documents.

IT IS ORDERED formally withdrawing the Plaintiff’s Motion for Production of Documents.

The Court recesses at 12:04 p.m.

LATER...

The Court finds that during the hearing it had mistakenly scheduled the next hearing based on the wrong calendar.

IT IS ORDERED resetting the **Telephonic Status Conference on Tuesday, December 15, 2020 at 9:00 a.m.**

Courts must serve all members of the public, including those with fragile medical conditions. To promote health and safety, courts require the public to bring and wear a face-covering mask to enter and remain in court buildings.

cc:

NANCY KNIGHT *
Plaintiff

LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL OEHLER *
Attorney for the Defendants

HONORABLE LEE F. JANTZEN *
Division IV