FILED
VIRLYNN TINNELL
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT
05/15/2020 5:36PM
BY: HSHEEHAN
DEPUTY

1 LAW OFFICES DANIEL J. OEHLER 2001 Highway 95, Suite 15 Bullhead City, Arizona 86442 3 (928) 758-3988 (928) 763-3227 (fax) djolaw@frontiernet.net 4 5 Daniel J. Oehler, Arizona State Bar No.: 002739 Attorney for Defendants 6 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE 9 NANCY KNIGHT, NO.: CV-2018-04003 Plaintiff, 10 MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO 11 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION VS. OF DOCUMENTS AND THINGS GLEN LUDWIG and PEARL LUDWIG, Trustees 12 **FILED APRIL 10, 2020** of THE LUDWIG FAMILY TRUST; FAIRWAY CONSTRUCTORS, INC.; MEHDI ÁZARMI; 13 JAMES B. ROBERTS and DONNA M. ROBERTS, husband and wife; JOHN DOES 1-10; 14 JANE DOES 1-10; ABC CORPORATIONS 1-10; and XYZ PARTNERSHIPS 1-10. 15 16 Defendants. 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COME NOW, the Defendants, by and through their attorney, the undersigned, and respectfully request that this Court grant an extension of time to respond to Plaintiff's Request for Production of Documents and Things filed April 10, 2020, for a period of time not less than 30 days subsequent to this Court's ruling on the pending Motions for Summary Judgment that were argued before this Court on Monday, May 11, 2020.

The basis of this request is that depending upon this Court's rulings on Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, the production of any documentation requested by the Plaintiff that may, in fact, be relevant and that are not otherwise vague, ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome and oppressive, may be either fully irrelevant if the matter is dismissed or the content thereof significantly narrowed.

As an example, if this Court were to ultimately determine, on the relevancy,

1	reasonableness and admissibility of the requested documents, and further find that the
2	requests were not ambiguous, overly broad, overly burdensome and vague, the costs of
3	production is likely to exceed \$10,000 and constitute thousands of pages of documentation
4	that may be fully needless and the expenses incurred by the Defendants may be passed on via
5	judgment against the Plaintiff to the Plaintiff.
6	Secondarily, as the Court is well aware, the Scheduling Order time for the subject
7	discovery has passed.
8	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this <u>/</u> day of May, 2020.
9	LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL J. OEHLER
10	
11	Daniel J. Oehler,
12	Attorney for Defendants COPY of the foregoing emailed this 15th day of May, 2020, to:
13	Honorable Lee F. Jantzen
14	Mohave County Superior Court Division 4
15	401 E. Spring Street
16	Kingman, Arizona 86401 (928) 753-0785 Danielle dlecher@courts.az.gov
17	Plaintiff Pro Per
18	Nancy Knight 1803 E. Lipan Circle
19	Fort Mohave, Arizona 86426
20	(928) 768-1537 nancyknight@frontier.com
21	By: Fatricia mond
22	Patricia L. Emond, Legal Assistant
23	
24	
25	
26	
11	

28